

FILED

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
SAN CRUCES, NEW MEXICO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

MAY 30 2002

*Robert M. Smith*  
CLERK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FRANCISCO JAVIER JAIME-  
BELTRAN,

Defendant.

CRIMINAL NO. 02-1880M

**WAIVER OF PRELIMINARY HEARING AND**  
**CONTINUANCE OF GRAND JURY PRESENTMENT**  
**ORDER TOLLING SPEEDY TRIAL TIME COMPUTATION**

1. I am the defendant in this criminal proceeding.
2. I understand that I am entitled to a preliminary hearing but I agree to waive my right

to that hearing in exchange for the following:

- ☒ Pre-indictment discovery
- ☒ Pre-indictment plea negotiations
- ☐ \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ \_\_\_\_\_

3. I understand that I have a right to have my case presented to a grand jury within thirty (30) days of my arrest pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).

4. I hereby request a continuance of grand jury presentment for an additional period not to exceed seventy-five (75) days from the date of my arrest for a total of one hundred-five (105) days.

By that I mean that there be a seventy-five (75) day period of excludable time for the purposes


5

determining compliance with speedy indictment provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). I further request that an order be entered providing that this time period shall be excluded from speedy indictment time computation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

5. If I cannot reach an agreement with the United States Attorney's Office I understand that my case will be presented to a grand jury at a later date, consistent with this waiver.


  
FRANCISCO JAVIER JAIME-BELTRAN  
Defendant

I have reviewed the foregoing document in (English/Spanish) with my client and represent to the Court that he/she understands it. I further represent to the Court that I believe it is in my client's best interest to agree to the contents of this document.

  
Attorney for Defendant  
Date: 5/30/02

**ORDER TOLLING SPEEDY TRIAL COMPUTATION**

The Court having reviewed the foregoing document with the defendant in open court now finds that the ends of justice served by allowing the request to toll the speedy indictment computation outweigh the interest of the defendant and the public in a speedy indictment. The Court expressly finds that the public's interest in a speedy indictment is outweighed by the (1) the public's interest in a reasonably expeditious resolution of the case; (2) the public's interest in relieving the burden that would be placed on judicial, prosecutorial, defense, and law enforcement resources if the case proceeded to a preliminary examination and or a speedy indictment; and (3) the potential benefit conferred upon the defendant in an expedited resolution of the case. It is therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that a period of time not to exceed seventy-five (75) days is hereby excluded from the computation of a speedy indictment [18 U.S.C. § 3161(b)] pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

  
United States Magistrate Judge